



Australian Government

Takeovers Panel

AMENDMENT OF GN 2 AND GN 4

PUBLIC CONSULTATION RESPONSE STATEMENT

27 MAY 2015

Introduction

On 16 March 2015, the Takeovers Panel released Consultation Papers seeking public comments on amendments to Guidance Note 2 *Reviewing Decisions* and Guidance Note 4 *Remedies General*.

Comments on the Consultation Papers were due by 15 April 2015 and the Panel received one submission in response from the Law Council of Australia. The Panel thanks the Law Council for its comments. Attached to this response statement are the Law Council's submissions on GN 2 and GN 4 (Annexure A).

Consistent with the Panel's published policy on responding to submissions, this paper sets out the Panel's response to the public consultation process and its conclusions on the main comments received from respondents.

Attached is a copy of the final GN 4, in mark-up to show the changes from the draft circulated with the Consultation Paper (Annexure B). Following consultation, no changes were made from the draft circulated with the Consultation Paper on GN 2.

Comments received and Panel's conclusions

Guidance Note 2 - Limited time frame for review applications

Comment

The Law Council submitted that the time limit for submitting applications for review of Panel decisions should be extended to 5 business days (from 2 business days) after the date of the Panel's original decision.

It noted that the existing time frame of 2 business days could cause practical difficulties, particularly in circumstances where the relevant decision maker is located overseas, the decision to review is difficult and requires careful consideration, or where the President's consent is required before an application for review can be made.

Response

The short time limit is a product of the *Corporations Act 2001* (Cth) and the *Corporations Regulations 2001* (Cth) rather than the Panel's policy. This was acknowledged by the Law Council.

Accordingly, the Panel has not made any further amendments to GN 2.

Guidance Note 4 - Offers to remedy unacceptable circumstances

Comment

We received informal feedback that GN 4 should make it clear that a resolution will be more favourably considered if it is provided earlier in the proceedings rather than later.

Response

The Panel agrees and has made an addition to footnote 8 of the final GN 4 to that effect.



Australian Government

Takeovers Panel

ANNEXURE A

Submissions – Law Council of Australia



Law Council
OF AUSTRALIA

Business Law Section

Mr Allan Bulman
Director, Takeovers Panel
Level 10
63 Exhibition Street
Melbourne Vic 3000
Via email: takeovers@takeovers.gov.au

15 April 2015

Dear Allan,

Response to Consultation Papers on remedies and reviewing decisions

This is a submission by the Corporations Committee of the Business Law Section of the Law Council of Australia (the **Committee**) in response to the Consultation Papers issued by the Takeovers Panel (the **Panel**) on 16 March 2015 on:

- revisions to Guidance Note 4 on *Remedies General*; and
- revisions to Guidance Note 2 on *Reviewing Decisions*.

Submissions on the proposed revisions to Guidance Note 4 on Remedies General

1. Thank you for the extension of time within which to make this submission.
2. The Committee is supportive of the proposed revisions to Guidance Note 4.
3. The Committee considers that it is appropriate for the Panel to decline to make a declaration when it is satisfied that unacceptable circumstances exist if the parties offer to remedy the unacceptable circumstances, whether through undertakings or otherwise. The Committee has no issue with the Panel's acceptance of a remedy offered by the parties in this situation.

GPO Box 1989, Canberra
ACT 2601, DX 5719 Canberra
19 Torrens St Braddon ACT 2612

Telephone +61 2 6246 3788
Facsimile +61 2 6248 0639

Law Council of Australia Limited
ABN 85 005 260 622
www.lawcouncil.asn.au

BLS

Submissions on the proposed revisions to Guidance Note 2 on Reviewing Decisions

4. The Committee generally agrees with the proposed revisions to Guidance Note 2.
5. However, recognising that this is not a product of the Panel's policy but of the Corporations Act and the Corporations Regulations, the Committee does wish to bring to the Panel's attention the practical difficulty which such a tight time frame within which a review application must be made -2 business days – can cause, particularly:
 - (a) in circumstances where the client or a relevant decision maker is located overseas in a different time zone; or
 - (b) where the matters and choices involved in the decisions whether to bring an application for review are difficult and the pros and cons require careful balancing.
6. The tight timing issue is exacerbated in cases where the President's consent is required before an application for review can be made.
7. Should an opportunity arise to review the current regulation (6.10.01), the Committee would be supportive of extending the time limit for submitting applications for review of Panel decisions to 5 business days (rather than 2 business days) after the date of the Panel's original decision.

The Committee would be pleased to discuss this submission if that is helpful. Please contact the Chair of the Committee, Bruce Cowley, on 02-3119 6213 or via email: bruce.cowley@minterellison.com if you would like to do so.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'John Keeves', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

John Keeves, Chairman
Business Law Section



Australian Government

Takeovers Panel

ANNEXURE B

Guidance Note 4 - Mark-up of Consultation Draft against Final



Australian Government

Takeovers Panel

Guidance Note 4 - Remedies General

Background 1

Declaration of unacceptable circumstances 2

Interim orders 3

Final orders 4

Costs orders 6

Undertakings 8

Other outcomes 9

Publication History 11

Related material 11

Attachment A: Example of costs order terms 12

Background

1. This guidance note has been prepared to assist market participants understand the Panel’s approach to remedies generally. The examples are illustrative only and nothing in the note binds the Panel in a particular case.
2. If the Panel makes a declaration of unacceptable circumstances,¹ it may make orders:
 - (a) to protect rights or interests affected by the unacceptable circumstances or

¹ Section 657A of the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth). All references are to the Corporations Act unless otherwise indicated

- (b) to ensure (as far as possible) that a bid proceeds as if the unacceptable circumstances had not occurred.²
- 3. The Panel may not make an order directing a person to comply with a requirement of Chapter 6, 6A, 6B or 6C.
- 4. The Panel may make interim orders.³ These can be to the same effect as final orders, can operate for up to 2 months and do not require a declaration of unacceptable circumstances to be made first.
- 5. The Panel does not seek to punish when deciding on a remedy. But the remedy may adversely affect a person, provided it is not unfairly prejudicial.⁴ In addition, a declaration, orders or other statement (eg, reasons) may expressly or impliedly involve a reprimand of a party or adviser.

Declaration of unacceptable circumstances

- 6. The Panel may declare circumstances unacceptable in relation to the affairs of a company. This both conveys the Panel's view that standards have not been met and enables the Panel to make final orders.⁵
- 7. Declarations are published in the Government Gazette.⁶
- 8. A copy of a declaration (and of the Panel's reasons for making it) must be given to each person to whom the declaration relates.⁷
- 9. The Panel usually informs the parties of its intention to make a declaration, inviting comments on technical and factual aspects of the draft document. The Panel may at that stage be prepared to consider a remedy for the unacceptable circumstances, without the need for a

² Section 657D(2)

³ Section 657E

⁴ This may be described as the fairest order having regard to the various interests to be reconciled and the discretion to be exercised. Cases include *Gjergja v Cooper* [1987] VR 163; *ASIC v Yandal Gold Pty Ltd* (1999) 32 ACSR 317 at [117]-[169]; *Flinders Diamonds Ltd v Tiger International Resources Ltd* [2004] SASC 119 at [63]-[79]. As to balancing the rights of buyers and sellers on market, examples include *NCSC v Monarch Petroleum NL* [1984] VR 733, 8 ACLR 785, 2 ACLC 256 and *ASC v Mt Burgess Gold Mining Co NL* (1994) 62 FCR 389, 15 ACSR 714, 13 ACLC 271

⁵ The Panel may make a declaration of unacceptable circumstances but no orders: Examples - *BreakFree Limited 04* [2003] ATP 39; *BreakFree Limited 04(R)* [2003] ATP 42; *Summit Resources Limited* [2007] ATP 09; in *Gondwana Resources Limited* [2014] ATP 9, the Panel accepted undertakings in lieu of orders. See also GN 1

⁶ Section 657A(5)

⁷ Section 657A(6)

declaration and orders, although of course parties are free to offer a remedy at any time.⁸

Interim orders

10. Interim orders are usually made to prevent unacceptable circumstances from happening, continuing or getting worse while proceedings are conducted. They may be made to preserve the status quo until proceedings are completed. They may also be made to ensure that the Panel's power to fashion the most appropriate remedy in the circumstances is not forestalled by intervening events.
11. The Panel can make any order as an interim order that it can make as a final order.
12. Relevant factors for making an interim order include:
 - (a) whether the risk that unacceptable circumstances will occur, continue or worsen in the absence of an order outweighs the adverse effects of the order on the person to whom it is directed and the market
 - (b) the strength of the evidence
 - (c) whether the circumstances can be adequately remedied by final relief alone and
 - (d) the availability of alternative measures, such as undertakings.
13. In general, the Panel will not hold up a transaction by interim orders, unless mischief will occur that cannot, or cannot conveniently, be reversed by final orders.⁹

Example: Unless the information deficiencies are significant, it will usually be preferable to allow a bidder's statement to be dispatched pending a final decision on the alleged deficiencies.

⁸ The Panel encourages parties to resolve matters wherever possible and welcomes any offer by a party to remedy potential unacceptable circumstances at any stage of Panel proceedings. The Panel is more receptive to resolutions proposed by the parties if they are offered earlier in the process. It may require more from a party offering a resolution if the Panel has already communicated its intention to make a declaration

⁹ See eg, *Village Roadshow Limited* [2004] ATP 04 at [94]; *Gondwana Resources Limited 02* [2014] ATP 15 at [16] and [18]; *Ambassador Oil and Gas Limited 02* [2014] ATP 17 at [12]

Final orders

14. The Panel may make any final order that meets the description in paragraph 2. This includes remedial orders,¹⁰ ancillary or consequential orders, and costs orders.
15. The Panel considers that its power to make orders includes power to make an order:
 - (a) that a person do something contrary to a relevant provision in the Act (eg, Chapter 6). The Panel does not consider that the power extends to making an order that a person do something contrary to another law (eg, Foreign Acquisitions and Takeovers Act).¹¹ As part of their submissions on proposed orders parties should refer to any such issues, in which case the Panel will consider how it might recast the order to avoid that issue
 - (b) that affects property interests¹² or existing legal rights and obligations.¹³
16. Before making final orders the Panel must:
 - (a) have made a declaration of unacceptable circumstances
 - (b) give each party, each person to whom the proposed order would be directed and ASIC an opportunity to make submissions. If there are identified persons or groups who may be affected, the Panel may seek their comments even if they are not parties. This may be done by inviting them to become a party¹⁴ or by allowing them to make a submission without becoming a party (in this case the invitation may be by media release and not direct correspondence)¹⁵
 - (c) be satisfied that the order would not unfairly prejudice any person. This involves consideration of the prejudice that different

¹⁰ Defined in section 9

¹¹ See *Attorney-General (Cth) v Alinta* [2008] HCA 2 esp at [14] per Gummow J and [96] per Hayne J; *ASC v Bank Leumi Le-Israel (Switzerland) & Ors* (1996) 14 ACLC 1576 at 1588-9. There may be limited other areas with a sufficient nexus to Chapter 6 that an order under section 657D also overrides that law. The party asserting this in a particular case would need to convince the Panel of it

¹² *AMP Shopping Centre Trust (No. 2)* [2003] ATP 24 at [38]-[41]

¹³ *Pinnacle VRB Ltd (No. 11)* [2001] ATP 23

¹⁴ This was done in *AMP Shopping Centre Trust (No 1) and (No 02)*. Not accepting an invitation until late in the proceedings may have costs implications: *AMP Shopping Centre Trust (No 01)* [2001] ATP 21 at [117]

¹⁵ ASIC Regulations 23 and 24 and see *BreakFree 04(R)* [2003] ATP 42 at [77]

persons or groups may suffer by making or not making the order¹⁶ and

- (d) consider that the proposed order protects rights or ensures that the bid proceeds as it should have (see paragraph 2).
17. In deciding what orders to make, the Panel considers how the order would promote the objectives set out in section 602 and whether the remedy is proportionate to the mischief.
18. Orders may be time sensitive. An order that is appropriate at the commencement of a matter may be inappropriate days later because the market has moved or third persons have changed their positions.
19. A copy of an order (and of the Panel's reasons for making it) must be given to:
- each party
 - each person to whom the order is directed (if not a party)
 - the company (if the order relates to its securities) and
 - ASIC.
20. Orders are published in the Government Gazette.¹⁷ However, the order takes effect when made.
21. In the event of non-compliance with an order, the Panel, ASIC, a party or a person to whom the order relates may apply to the Court for an order to secure compliance.¹⁸ The Court may make any orders it considers appropriate to secure compliance with the Panel's order.

Protecting rights or interests

22. Final orders to protect rights might include (for example) cancelling contracts,¹⁹ freezing transfers, freezing rights attached to securities,

¹⁶ See footnote 4

¹⁷ Section 657D(4)

¹⁸ Section 657G

¹⁹ *Allegiance Mining NL* [2008] ATP 3; *Mount Gibson Iron Ltd* [2008] ATP 4; *Touch Holdings Limited* [2013] ATP 3; *STI-Global Limited* [2013] ATP 12

forcing the disposal of securities²⁰ and establishing rights to withdraw acceptances.²¹

Getting the bid back on track

23. Final orders to get the bid back on track might include (for example) allowing more time or information for shareholders to assess the merits of the proposal²² or establishing rights to withdraw acceptances.

Costs orders

24. Costs may be a relevant factor in deciding whether to make an interim order, conduct proceedings, consent to a review or accept an undertaking instead of making a declaration.

Power to order costs

25. The Panel only has power to order costs of parties if it has made a declaration.²³ It cannot order costs:
- (a) to a successful respondent, even if it declines to conduct proceedings because they are frivolous or vexatious
 - (b) if it finds unacceptable circumstances, but makes no declaration because it accepts an undertaking to remedy the circumstances
 - (c) to the Panel or non-parties or
 - (d) in an application under s656A (review of ASIC's exemption or modification power).
26. Costs may be directed to be borne by a party or another person.
27. It may be appropriate to award costs to ASIC where it has participated as a party in an application under s657C.

²⁰ *Midwest Corporation Ltd 02* [2008] ATP 15; *DataDot Technology Limited* [2009] ATP 13; *Bowen Energy Limited 02R* [2009] ATP 19; *Viento Group Limited* [2011] ATP 1; *CMI Limited* [2011] ATP 4; *CMI Limited 01R* [2011] ATP 6; *Real Estate Capital Partners USA Property Trust* [2012] ATP 6; *Avalon Minerals Limited* [2013] ATP 11; *Gondwana Resources Limited 02* [2014] ATP 15

²¹ See *Skywest Limited 03R* [2004] ATP 20; *Consolidated Minerals Limited 03* [2007] ATP 25; *Consolidated Minerals Limited 03R* [2007] ATP 28; *Firestone Energy Limited* [2013] ATP 4; *Avalon Minerals Limited* [2013] ATP 11; *Ambassador Oil and Gas Limited 01* [2014] ATP 14. In *Goodman Fielder Limited* [2003] ATP 1 an undertaking (with ASIC relief) was accepted for offering of withdrawal rights. Similarly in *Mildura Co-operative Fruit Company Limited* [2004] ATP 5

²² *Ranger Minerals Ltd* [2002] ATP 11; *Skywest Limited 04* [2004] ATP 26; for an example of an order having the same effect see *Firestone Energy Limited* [2013] ATP 4

²³ Sections 657D(1) and 675D(2)(d). A costs order is a type of final order. The Panel has recently made cost orders, for example, in *Minemakers Limited* [2012] ATP 8, *Austock Group Limited* [2012] ATP 12, *IFS Construction Services Limited* [2012] ATP 15 and *Northern Iron Limited* [2014] ATP 11

Considerations

28. The Panel's approach to cost orders is guided by the following considerations:
- (a) the Panel's primary role is to resolve disputes expeditiously and informally
 - (b) a declaration relates to circumstances, not conduct, and may involve no finding of fault
 - (c) costs orders are the exception not the rule, so may not follow to a 'successful' party and
 - (d) a party is entitled to make, or resist, an application once without exposure to a costs order, provided it presents a case of reasonable merit in a businesslike way.²⁴
29. Costs may be awarded against a party if it:
- (a) presented a case that was not arguable or made unsubstantiated assertions²⁵
 - (b) delayed or obstructed proceedings,²⁶ abused the process²⁷ or unreasonably refused to negotiate²⁸
 - (c) sought an unmeritorious review²⁹ or put material before a review Panel that it failed to put before the initial Panel
 - (d) wasted time on a particular issue or elongated proceedings³⁰ (partial costs referable to the additional expenses may be appropriate in this case) or
 - (e) failed to comply with a direction under ASIC regulation 16(1) or Panel order³¹ (the costs of the other parties attributable to the failure may be ordered).

²⁴ For an example of a case presented without reasonable merit see *Northern Iron Limited* [2014] ATP 11 at [64]

²⁵ Includes reckless or deliberate misquoting of source documents

²⁶ *Infratil Australia 02* [2000] ATP 1 at [63]

²⁷ Including taking advantage of the financial weakness of another party. See also *Pinnacle VRB Limited (No. 11)* [2001] ATP 23

²⁸ Including refusing a reasonable compromise during negotiations

²⁹ *Taipan Resources NL (No. 11)* [2001] ATP 16 at [100]-[101]

³⁰ For example by providing multiple drafts of inadequate additional disclosure, *Minemakers Limited* [2012] ATP 8 at [73]-[76]

³¹ *IFS Construction Services Limited* [2012] ATP 15 at [79]

Undertakings to pay costs

30. The Panel has power to accept a written undertaking from a person to pay such costs as determined by the Panel if an application fails.³²
31. An undertaking may be offered, for example:
 - (a) to persuade a Panel to conduct proceedings or grant an interim order where it has otherwise formed a preliminary view that it will not do so³³ or
 - (b) to persuade a review Panel to conduct proceedings.
32. Such an undertaking would be to pay the costs of the other parties in the event that the application (review) fails. In the case of a review, it might extend to the costs of other parties on the initial application and on review.

Amount of costs

33. The scale is usually the Federal Court scale of fees on a party-party basis, but it may be higher, including full indemnity costs.
34. In general, costs orders or costs undertakings are limited to the costs actually, necessarily, properly and reasonably incurred in the course of the proceedings.³⁴ They may include costs of legal and other advisers and of directors, and extend to the costs incurred in recovering costs.

Procedural issues regarding costs orders

35. The Panel will generally include a procedure for assessing and paying costs when making a costs order. Undertakings should also address this.
36. An example costs order is Attachment A.
37. The confidentiality undertaking in relation to Panel proceedings applies to the whole of the proceedings. It therefore applies to the production of documents to an independent cost consultant for assessment.

Undertakings

38. Matters before the Panel may be resolved by undertakings under section 201A of the *ASIC Act 2001* (Cth).

³² Section 201A of the ASIC Act

³³ *Taipan Resources NL (No. 11)* [2001] ATP 16 at [98]-[99]

³⁴ *Skywest Ltd 04* [2004] ATP 26 at [93]. In *Realestate.com.au Ltd* [2001] ATP 1 the Panel suggested that cost claims needed to set out and justify the amount claimed

39. An agreed resolution, such as by undertakings, can be more flexible and quicker than orders. It may also obviate the need for the Panel to make a declaration. A party may offer an undertaking to resolve the matter at any point in the Panel's process.
40. The Panel considers that the public interest is generally served by accepting an undertaking that addresses unacceptable circumstances to the Panel's satisfaction.³⁵ The Panel may not then need to make a declaration or orders, although it may still make a declaration if it wants to send the market a signal.³⁶
41. An undertaking should be as simple and direct as possible.
42. If not addressed, the following provisions will be implied into an undertaking:
 - (a) the person offering it will do all that is necessary to secure performance of it and to enable persons whose rights and interests are affected by the circumstances to have the benefit of it³⁷ and
 - (b) it will be fulfilled as soon as practicable.
43. In the event of non-compliance with an undertaking, the Panel may apply to the Court for an order directing the person to comply.³⁸ The Court can make any order including that a person comply with the undertaking or pay compensation.

Other outcomes

44. The Panel's functions extend beyond resolving disputes. This is explicit from the rule-making power under section 658C, which gives the Panel power to establish standards. The Panel also publishes guidance, media announcements and reasons.

Reasons

45. If the Panel decides not to conduct proceedings,³⁹ or makes a declaration⁴⁰ or orders,⁴¹ it must give the parties a statement of

³⁵ Similar to the maxim "It is in the republic interest that there be an end to litigation" (*interest reipublicae ut sit finis litium*)

³⁶ *Summit Resources Limited* [2007] ATP 09; *Mildura Co-operative Fruit Company Limited* [2004] ATP 5 at [96]

³⁷ *Secured Income Real Estate (Australia) Ltd v St Martins Investments Pty Ltd* (1979) 26 ALR 567

³⁸ Section 201A(3) of the ASIC Act

³⁹ ASIC regulation 21(2)(c)

⁴⁰ Section 657A(6)

reasons for its decision, although these may not address all the submissions made. The Panel may also give reasons when it accepts an undertaking or takes no action. The President may give reasons when consenting or declining to consent to a review of a Panel decision.⁴²

46. The Panel will normally publish its reasons.⁴³ It generally gives parties a confidential draft of its reasons and an opportunity to make submissions on issues of fact or unfair prejudice. Reasons may include reflections on the actions of a person or a reprimand of a person. The person should not be unaware of the risk of an adverse finding.⁴⁴ The Panel has wide immunities for comments it might make,⁴⁵ but of course must be fair, proportionate and base its comments on credible information.

Advisers

47. In most cases advisers are more familiar than their clients with the technical, procedural, strategic and tactical aspects of takeovers. The Panel has no formal powers to deal with advisers, but expects advisers to take all reasonable steps to ensure their clients comply with the law, ASIC policies, stock market rules and Panel policies (and, if there is an application, with the Panel's *Rules for Proceedings*).⁴⁶
48. Advisers may be referred to (and even criticised) in the reasons for decision.

Other actions

49. The Panel may take other actions as well. These include:
- (a) privately admonishing a person

⁴¹ Section 657D(4)

⁴² Section 657EA(2). The reasons for consent may be incorporated into the sitting Panel's reasons. The President has published separate reasons for declining to consent in *Careers Australia Group Limited* 03R [2015] ATP 2 and *Austral Coal Limited* 03R [2005] ATP 15

⁴³ Including the reasons of any member dissenting from the majority, see *Pasminco Ltd (Administrators Appointed)* [2002] ATP 6 and *Touch Holdings Limited* [2013] ATP 3. Findings of fact in an order or reasons are *prima facie* evidence of the fact: s658B

⁴⁴ *Annetts v McCann* (1990) 170 CLR 596

⁴⁵ Section 197 of the ASIC Act

⁴⁶ Note that a party's undertaking concerning confidentiality and media canvassing under section 201A of the ASIC Act is given on behalf of its advisers as well. The Panel considers that it is accordingly an obligation of the advisers not to act in a way that would lead to a breach of its client's undertaking

- (b) reporting its findings to ASIC,⁴⁷ or a person's 'employer', or a person's professional organisation or
- (c) including its findings in a media release.

Publication History

GN 4

First Issue 28 February 2001
Reformatted 17 September 2003
Second Issue 12 July 2004
Third issue 17 September 2007
Fourth issue 1 October 2008
Fifth issue [##27 May 2015](#)

GN 9

First Issue 19 August 2002
First Revision 16 September 2003
Second Issue 12 July 2004
Withdrawn 1 October 2008

Related material

GN 5: Specific remedies - Information deficiencies

⁴⁷ ASIC regulation 18

Attachment A: Example of costs order terms

A costs order may include the following terms:

1. Within 10 business days of the date of the order, each payee (ie, a party in whose favour the costs order is made) must provide the payer (ie, the party against whom the costs order was made) with a bill of their costs actually, necessarily, properly and reasonably incurred in the course of the proceedings.
2. The bill must be itemised in sufficient detail for the payer to make an assessment of whether it will agree to pay it.
3. Within 5 business days of receipt of the bill, the payer must tell the Panel and the payee whether it will pay the bill.
4. If the payer does not object, it must pay the costs within 10 business days of receipt of the bill.
5. If the payer objects:
 - (a) the Panel will appoint an independent cost consultant to assess the costs and
 - (b) if required by the Panel, the payee must provide a bill of costs in taxable form and make its file available to the cost consultant
6. Within 10 business days of the assessment:
 - (a) the assessed costs must be paid by the payer and
 - (b) the consultant's costs must be paid:
 - (i) by the payee, if the cost consultant reduced the costs by 10% or more or
 - (ii) otherwise, by payer.
7. The Panel may, on application, make a further order for payment of interest if a person fails to meet the deadlines in these costs orders.